

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI**

IN RE:)
CASE OPERATIONS)
DUE TO COVID-19 RESPONSE)

ORDER

WHEREAS this Court continues to evaluate its response to the spread and the long-term effects of the COVID-19 virus on both the community and this Court, and recognizes the need to accommodate extenuating circumstances to assist in the effective administration of justice during this period of national emergency; and

WHEREAS the Judicial Conference of the United States (JCUS) has found under the CARES Act, P.L. 116-136, 134 Stat. 281 (CARES Act), that emergency conditions due to the national emergency declared by the President under the National Emergencies Act (50 U.S.C. §1601 et seq.) with respect to COVID-19 have materially affected and will materially affect the functioning of the federal courts generally;

NOW THEREFORE, effective May 31, 2022, the Court hereby vacates all prior Orders of this Court relating to case administration during the COVID-19 pandemic, and adopts the following Order:

1. Jury trials may commence in any Division of this District, subject to restrictions related to public health or social distancing measures for jurors, parties, witnesses, public, and court staff; as determined by this Court or by the judge presiding in each case;
2. All proceedings are in-person unless otherwise ordered by the judge presiding in the case, in a manner consistent with this Order;

3. The Court finds that health concerns related to COVID- 19 allow that the use of appropriate health screening, social distancing, and personal protective equipment may be continued as ordered by this Court or by the judge presiding in the case;

4. This Court recognizes that emergency conditions exist throughout this District, as found by the JCUS under the CARES Act. This Court recognizes that it is required under Sections 15002(b)(3)(A) and (B) of the CARES Act to review the findings and authorizations made in this Order no later than ninety (90) days after its initial Order or any subsequent renewal. In the interest of public health and safety, this Court or a judge presiding in a case may continue to take precautionary measures to limit in-person appearances to the extent allowed by law and as necessary in any particular case. This Court hereby renews in this Order its initial findings and authorizations from its [March 30, 2020 Order](#), its [May 29, 2020 Order](#), its [July 30, 2020 Order](#), its [September 1, 2020 Order](#), its [November 24, 2020 Order](#), its [December 15, 2020 Order](#), its [March 8, 2021 Order](#), its [June 4, 2021 Order](#), its [September 2, 2021 Order](#), [December 3, 2021 Order](#), and its [February 28, 2022 Order](#), and will review these findings and authorizations under this Order no later than August 29, 2022;

5. This Court authorizes on its own motion the use of video teleconferencing, or telephone conferencing if video teleconferencing is not reasonably available, in the criminal procedures specifically enumerated in Section 15002(b)(1) of the CARES Act, to wit:

- a. Detention hearings under section 3142 of title 18, United States Code;
- b. Initial appearances under Rule 5 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure;
- c. Preliminary hearings under Rule 5.1 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure;

- d. Waivers of indictment under Rule 7(b) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure;
- e. Arraignments under Rule 10 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure;
- f. Probation and supervised release revocation proceedings under Rule 32.1 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure;
- g. Pretrial release revocation proceedings under section 3148 of title 18, United States Code;
- h. Appearances under Rule 40 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure;
- i. Misdemeanor pleas and sentencings as described in Rule 43(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure; and
- j. Proceedings under chapter 403 of title 18, United States Code (commonly known as the “Federal Juvenile Delinquency Act”), except for contested transfer hearings and juvenile delinquency adjudication or trial proceedings;


6. This Court finds on its own motion, under Section 15002(b)(2) of the CARES Act, that in some instances felony pleas in this district under Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and felony sentencings under Rule 32 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure may not be able to be conducted in person without seriously jeopardizing public health and safety, and thus the use of video teleconferencing – or telephone conferencing if video teleconferencing is not reasonably available – is permitted in such cases as determined by the judge presiding in the case;

7. Under Section 15002(b)(2)(A) of the CARES Act, any judge presiding in a particular case who authorizes the use of video teleconferencing or telephone conferencing if video teleconferencing is not reasonably available under paragraphs 5 or 6 of this Order, must

find for specific reasons that the plea or sentencing in that case cannot be further delayed without serious harm to the interests of justice. Under Section 15002(b)(4) of the CARES Act, this authorization may occur only with the consent of the defendant, or the juvenile, after consultation with counsel. The presiding judge in the case may authorize remote means including but not limited to participation of defense counsel in the video or telephone conference to facilitate consent of the defendant;

8. This Court recognizes that the current national response to COVID-19 may result in the need for parties to request continuances or other relief. Parties requesting relief must file a motion specifying the relief requested and the judge will rule promptly on that motion. Parties must consult with opposing parties before filing any motion for relief under this subsection, and such motions should be filed by consent wherever possible.

SO ORDERED this 31st day of May, 2022.



Rodney W. Sippel
Chief United States District Judge