1 2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BEFORE THE HONOF))))) No. 4:16-cv-00180-CDP) ,)) September 20, 2022) DF STATUS CONFERENCE RABLE CATHERINE D. PERRY S DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
12	<u>A</u>	PPEARANCES
13	INDEPENDENT MONITOR:	Natashia Tidwell, Esq. Saul Ewing LLP
14 15	FOR PLAINTIFF:	Amy Senier, Esq. U.S. Department of Justice
16 17 18	FOR DEFENDANT:	Aarnarian (Apollo) D. Carey, Esq. Lewis Rice LLC
19		
21	REPORTED BY:	Laura A. Esposito, RPR, CRR, CRC U.S. District Court 111 South 10th Street
23 24		St. Louis, MO 63102 (314) 244-7739 Laura_Esposito@moed.uscourts.gov
25	PRODUCED BY COURT REPORT	TER COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION

1	INDEX	
2		
3	Statement by the Court Page	3
4	Statement by Mr. Carey	4
5	Statement by Ms. Senier	17
6	Statement by Ms. Tidwell	22
7	Statement by the Court	26
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

(Proceedings convened in open court at 10:03 a.m.)

THE COURT: Good morning. We are here in the case of United States of America vs. The City of Ferguson. This is Case No. 4:16-CV-180, and we are here for the quarterly public hearing that we have.

We are again, thankfully, able to do this live in the courtroom instead of having to do this by other means. during the pandemic. This proceeding is being livestreamed, the audio is being livestreamed. And the people -- I want to just remind everyone who might be listening on the livestream that any recording of this is -- or broadcast, recording or broadcast, is strictly forbidden by the rules of the court system, and so it's essential that you follow that. But I am glad that we're able to do this and hopeful that some members of the public who might not have been able to be here today are able to listen on the livestream.

So, with that said, I would ask counsel for the parties to please identify yourselves for the record, starting with the Department of Justice.

MS. SENIER: Good morning, Your Honor. Amy Senier for the Department of Justice.

THE COURT: All right. And then for the City of Ferguson?

MR. CAREY: Good morning, Your Honor. Apollo Carey for the City of Ferguson, Missouri.

THE COURT: And for the Monitoring Team?

MS. TIDWELL: Good morning, Your Honor.

Natashia Tidwell for the Monitoring Team.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you all for being here, and we are going to hear reports. I do -- as discussed, as I've mentioned before, we do have monthly telephone calls with the counsel, and it's a very good opportunity for the Court to just hear directly from the lawyers and only the lawyers what's going on and any problems or concerns they have. It's not unusual for a Court to have telephone conferences with the attorneys only, without the clients there. In fact, we do it all the time because that's why you have lawyers. And so I just wanted to mention that.

So I have talked with them so I know some of the things that are going on but I'm looking forward to hearing the reports from the parties as to everything.

So, Mr. Carey, even though you're not -- you could go first because you may have things to report, so -- and then after you speak, I'll hear from the Department of Justice and then from the Monitor.

MR. CAREY: Thank you, Your Honor.

I know for the past couple years, since 2019, you've been used to seeing Ms. Barton here in front of you, and I know I'm probably not as physically pleasing to look at, my voice is a little different and my skill set is probably a

little different, but I'll do my best to try to give as much of a comprehensive report from where we were last time we met to where we are here today.

I have about, I think maybe nine or ten things,

Your Honor, that I'd like to sort of update the Court and
the public on with regard to the City's progress with

Consent Decree compliance.

The first category of those things I'll start with is the policy updates, the implementation of policies and training and that kind of thing. And, you know, what maybe the public doesn't necessarily know is, we do meet, you know, via telephone conference with the Court on a monthly basis, so a lot of this, Your Honor, you may have just heard recently, but for the benefit of the public we want to, you know, just allow them to know where the City is with regard to these items.

So the first one I'll touch on is the PTO policy that we have, which is our Train the Trainer. And "PTO" stands for Police Training Officer. Essentially, this program was designed to sort of bring in-house the training function so that FPD would be able to train some of its officers to train other officers within the department, which makes training more of an ongoing and consistent thing and a lot more convenient for FPD.

So we have recently finished our PowerPoint for our

Train the Trainer program, and our Training Committee, which we have put together within, I'd say, maybe the last year or two we've had a Training Committee, which includes folks from the public as well as FPD officers, to sort of review that PowerPoint and that curriculum to make sure that the --you know, the PTO program is consistent with the Consent Decree requirements as well as best practices when it comes to training officers. So that PowerPoint was recently finished and is in the hands of our Training Committee for review.

Another sort of piece of information I think it's important for the Court and the public to know is that we recently -- had officers, I think six to seven certified training officers in various different disciplines, whether it be use of force or implicit bias, whatever those disciplines are. So we are making progress in terms of creating sort of a training -- you know, officers within FPD that are certified to train in specific law enforcement areas.

And sort of relating to that, it's important to know that the implicit bias training that is offered for officers, we have several, I think two or three officers now certified in implicit bias training. And so what FPD is looking to do is to provide some of that implicit bias training to our Civilian Review Board. If you will recall,

our Civilian Review Board has sort of a list of continual training that they're supposed to undergo in order to stay up to snuff with best practices and policing, and just give them the skill set they need to make sure that they're reviewing complaints in an adequate manner, and implicit bias training is one of those, one of those — one of several training components. And so FPD officers are in the process of setting up a program to aid the CRB in that particular portion of their training.

Another sort of policy update I wanted to let the Court know and the public know about was, our First Amendment and protest policies were recently posted on our -- on the city's website for public comment. I believe that timeframe is 45 days, but -- I could be off on the timeframe, but I know just recently that the timeframe has expired. And so what FPD is in the process of doing is evaluating those public comments that they received on the First Amendment and protest policies, and then we'll determine, in conjunction with the Department of Justice, whether or not that policy needs to be updated or changed or -- pursuant or consistent with the public comments.

The other policy update I wanted to inform the Court and the public about was the revision of our community policing/engagement policy as well as our crime prevention plans. Essentially our community policing and community

1 engagement plan will work hand-in-hand with each other, you 2 know, sort of transforming FPD's previous mode of policing 3 into more of a community-based, more community-friendly 4 approach, thereby implementing strategies to not just 5 address crime when it happens but also to prevent crime because if officers are more present in the community and 6 7 they have the connections and they have sort of, you know, 8 the investment in the community that we're looking for under 9 our new sort of structure, we hope that that will, you know, 10 cause officers to be more present, to care more about the 11 citizens, which will then result in reducing, you know, or 12 preventing crime. Of course, there are strategies that go 13 along with that that will be in the plan and those will all 14 piggyback off of the community engagement and the community 15 policing policies. So we just wanted to let you know. 16 Do you have a question about that, Your Honor? Okay. 17

All right. The last sort of policy update I wanted to give had to do with our Use of Force and Force Investigation reports. I believe sometime this month or sometime soon the Department of Justice is planning to sort of come to the FPD and visit the FPD to go through our Use of Force reports and our Use of Force investigations. As you will recall, there was a recent audit that we had that the Monitor did, and there were some questions or issues about the Use of Force reports, and so we got with Benchmark, which is one of our

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

vendors, to sort of make some changes there and pull some information and data. And I believe -- and the Department of Justice will correct me if I'm wrong -- but there is, in the works, a plan for the Department of Justice to sort of come to the City and sort of walk through some of those reports and some of those investigations that we recently had.

So, Your Honor, that's it for -- as it relates to the policy and training updates.

So, I'd like to sort of switch to some more general updates about the City and its Consent Decree compliance, one of which happens to do -- happens to involve me directly. So one of the -- one of my tasks for the City is to train the CRB in the legal portion of their training. And, historically, as you know, maybe in the last three months or so the CRB had been without a quorum because we had some folks sort of term off of the board, and we then started the process of soliciting applications to replace those folks.

In the last maybe two months or so the Ferguson City
Council has replaced those missing — two of those missing
CRB members, so we do now have a quorum. And I just wanted
to let the Court and the public know the names of those two
members. One is Mr. Vaughn Smith and one is Ms. That I on a
care Is. And so one of the things I do is, when there are

new members of the CRB, I immediately try to get them up to speed on the legal training. The legal training involves subjects such as, you know, preponderance of the evidence; understanding how FPD conducts their internal investigations; understanding sort of, you know, the documents and the paperwork that is supposed to go with those investigations; understanding their duty of confidentiality, privacy, because they will be coming into contact with personnel information. Of course, under Missouri law there's a right of -- a reasonable expectation of privacy in that kind of information.

And we talk a little bit with the CRB about where they derive their power from, the difference between a review board and an oversight board, and so we just sort of, you know, drill in so that they sort of understand what their charge is and what they're supposed to be doing in the position.

And, so, we've done that. And that training was completed as of September the 12th, and so the two new members are up to speed on the legal portion of the training; however, there are several other pieces of training that they are going to go through. And I think — I know in the past what we've offered is — you know, CRB members have had the opportunity to do ride-alongs with FPD officers to, you know, kind of see a day in the life of an

officer.

We also offer, and I mentioned this earlier, the implicit bias training that they need to go through in order to sort of understand the implications and the effect of implicit bias on looking at a -- you know, at a file, a complaint file as it relates to officers and those kinds of things. So they still have a little bit more training to do, but they are -- at this point, since they've completed the legal training, they are allowed to sort of participate in activities with the rest of the Board. So the Court and the public will be happy to know that.

The other general subject I wanted to talk about -- I have several more actually. I want to talk about officer hiring. So we -- right now FPD has a total of 34 commissioned officers. That's everybody, including the white shirts, the command staff, and the patrol officers. We, this year, have hired seven new officers since January of this year. It's not quite one per month but we are certainly excited about the fact that we have, at least pretty consistent with the hiring. We have one officer who is currently in the current police academy at St. Louis County, and we have two or three officers who are sort of in the hopper so to speak, and if their paperwork and the evaluations pan out correctly, then we have two or three that we hope will be in the upcoming St. Louis County Police

Academy as well. So recruitment efforts are still ongoing. We'd like to continue to build our numbers so that we have enough police officers to effectuate the policies and the procedures and the plans that we're implementing under the Consent Decree, so want to let the Court and the public know about those as well.

One of the things that -- another subject I wanted to touch on was, recently we had a hearing where the public spoke, and there were some comments made about the cost of monitoring and the monitoring fees and what's been spent versus what hasn't been spent and, you know, how much the City has overspent on monitoring and these types of things. And I wanted to -- you know, as a result of those comments, you know, the City and the Monitor, in particular

Ms. Tidwell, you know, got together and we wanted to make sure that we sort of addressed those things at this particular hearing; not fully address them but at the very least to address, you know, some of the general narrative that was put out there about those things because some of it was not necessarily accurate.

And, so, what we did was, we asked the Monitor to sort of gather independently her invoices that she had sent to the City since the time that she had started with the -- became the head Monitor, and I think that was sometime in 2017. And then the City went back and looked at its

invoices or payments that it had made from -- since beginning of monitorship actually, which would include Mr. Clark Ervin's portion of, you know, being the Monitor for the City of Ferguson. And what we did sort of discover, our preliminary findings and the research that we've done so far, is that, you know, and we're happy to report this actually, is that we are actually under budget, and we're in the sixth year of our Consent Decree.

THE COURT: You're saying you're under the five-year budget?

MR. CAREY: We're under the five-year budget.

THE COURT: Even though we're in the sixth year?

MR. CAREY: That's right. In particular, what we came up with and what we have seen is the Monitors' invoices total were somewhere around \$970,000 since implementation of the monitoring function. And, you know, I think our budget or our agreement, our five-year agreement, was 1.25 million, so we were what I would consider substantially under budget.

Now, I think where maybe the -- maybe sort of confusion came from, which I think was -- you know, some of the source of the confusion may have been legitimate, which is, we are right at about 1.2 million in Consent Decree expenditures. And so I think the difference or the confusion might sort of stem from the idea of what the City thought they were going to be, you know, when they first

engaged Mr. Ervin, and thought that, you know, certain things were going to be part of the monitoring function and they weren't, and we end up having to pay for those as well. And so I think there may have been some sort of confusion and sort of mixing those two concepts or ideas of, you know, actual monitoring costs and then implementation or compliance costs. And so --

THE COURT: I mean complying with the Consent Decree obviously costs you something --

MR. CAREY: Right, over and above.

THE COURT: -- aside from the Monitor?

MR. CAREY: Over and above the services that are being provided by the Monitor. So I wanted to make sure we cleared the record for that because I know that was, you know, a subject that, you know, sort of touched a nerve with a lot of the folks who are hard at work doing this work, in particular the Monitor and some of the other folks who are working hand-in-hand with the Monitor. So we wanted to make sure that that was put out there on the record.

And, lastly, Your Honor, my sort of last update that I have for the Court and the public is our Consent Decree Coordinator position. Again, I mentioned when I started, you know, I am not as good as our prior Consent Degree Coordinator in doing these updates; however, when she resigned the City started a process, it was a nationwide

process. We ended up getting some really good candidates to replace Ms. Barton. And then through the interviews and the panels that the candidates went through, and the other screening as well, we settled on a candidate. Her name is Ms. Betty Johnson. Ms. Betty Johnson will be coming to the City of Ferguson in either the second or third week of October. She has accepted the offer from the City to be the next Consent Decree Coordinator.

She comes to us with 15 years of experience, law enforcement experience, most of which were at the New Orleans Police Department as well as the Louisville, Texas Police Department. And her current position is — the title of her current position is Law Enforcement Performance Auditor. Law Enforcement Performance Auditor for the New Orleans Police Department. So that is a position — I'm not quite sure if it was created by the Consent Decree, but I can tell you that that is a position that is integral to Consent Decree compliance for the New Orleans Police Department. And so we're super excited about having someone with, you know, 15 years of law enforcement experience but also someone who has Consent Decree compliance experience as well.

So those are the substantive updates, Your Honor. I wanted to -- just because we were kind of short on people when I first started, so I didn't want to like introduce --

but just so that you know, as we always do, you know, we just like to make sure that the Court and the public knows that we are engaged in this process. And there are -- leadership is certainly interested and engaged and they take time out of their days and off of work to come and be present.

So we have our mayor, Ms. Ella Jones, is here. Our City Manager, Mr. Eric Osterberg. And then we also have Lieutenant Harris. We have Frank McCall, our Police Chief, and then his assistant, Shahidah Siraaj, is here today. And then our councilwoman, Naquittia Noah, is here, and former council, Laverne Mitchum, is here as well. So, you know — and then we also have members of our public who have come in and spoken before you when we have our public comments.

And so I know it's 10:00 in the morning on a Tuesday, so we -- you know, the crowd may not be as big as it has been in the past, but as you can see, you know, there's still interest, there's still passion, and there's still, you know, a dedication on the part of the City to make sure we see this through.

THE COURT: Well, I do appreciate the City officials and people who I know have busy jobs being here. Thank you.

MR. CAREY: And that's it for my update, unless Your Honor has any questions.

THE COURT: I don't at this time, Mr. Carey. Thank

you.

MR. CAREY: Thank you so much.

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Senier, I'll hear from the Department of Justice.

MS. SENIER: Good morning. The DOJ appreciates the opportunity to brief the Court and the public on progress in implementing the Consent Decree.

We want to start by acknowledging the challenges the City's faced this past quarter, including the departure of their Consent Decree Coordinator in June and the flooding of July.

We're also appreciative of the fact that, notwithstanding these challenges, the City has moved quite swiftly on several aspects of implementation, including, as Mr. Carey said, filling CRB vacancies, getting those new members trained up so they can hit the ground running, keeping its commitment to recruitment and hiring of officers, and of course, quickly identifying and hiring a new Consent Decree Coordinator. And we look forward to working with Ms. Johnson when she arrives in October. We anticipate that her arrival will significantly accelerate the City's municipal courts implementation efforts.

To that end, I'd like to just highlight a number of areas that Mr. Carey discussed that we think that the City can kind of get over the finish line within the next

quarter.

The first area is training. And, you know, DOJ has long said that FPD needs some robust in-service training to fully operationalize the revised policies. And, to that end, the bias-free training, we think, is complete and ready to deliver. In February of 2021, DOJ arranged for trainers from Suffolk County Police Department -- that's in New York -- to travel to Ferguson and train some trainers who can deliver this training. FPD then adopted that training for the Ferguson context. We understand that it's ready to go. FPD just needs to schedule it. So we think that is achievable within the next quarter.

And the second training that Mr. Carey referenced is the PTO training, the Police Training Officer training.

And, again, in March 2022, DOJ's policing subject matter expert traveled to Ferguson and worked with his counterparts within FPD to actually write this training. We believe that that training, you know, is ready to go but for some review by the Training Committee. FPD just needs to identify some additional Police Training Officers to go through it. But that's a critical step of Consent Decree implementation because, not only will officers learn the ins and outs of performing the job, but it's really an opportunity for FPD to instill the mission and core values of the agency in its new recruits. So we think that that is achievable by the

end of the year, and I should say that we're looking forward to that getting up and running.

The last training is not an in-service training, it's a roll call training, and it's on the revised strip search and cavity search policy. This was a policy that the Monitor reviewed and approved in March of this year. FPD routinely designs and delivers roll call training, so we're confident that they can quickly put together this training and deliver it within this quarter. So those are three trainings that we think are achievable within the next quarter.

The last piece on training I'll mention is the training coordinator. The Monitor and DOJ have long said that FPD needs a dedicated person in-house who can develop and deliver, not just Consent Decree training, but the myriad other trainings that police have to go through to do their jobs effectively. And while it's great that officers are getting trained, you know, to deliver that training, they really need someone overall to kind of steer the training program.

DOJ and its policing subject matter expert worked together with FPD to craft a position description for the Training Coordinator. We understand it's ready to go.

We're hoping it's going to be posted within the week so that FPD can identify, hire, and onboard someone by the end of

the year to fulfill that critical function.

On the policy front I want to underscore what

Mr. Carey said about the First Amendment protest policy. We think that this is something that can be wrapped up within the next quarter. As Mr. Carey said, it went out for public comment. It's actually the second time this policy has gone out for public comment. It went out for public comment in early August, and Mr. Carey is correct that comments were due this Friday, but FPD and DOJ have long accepted public comments after the due date, so if people out there still want to comment on the policy, we invite them to do so. And as those comments come in, you know, FPD should be reviewing them and incorporating them wherever possible. So we think that this is achievable within the next quarter to finalize that policy. It's an important policy.

On the Use of Force reporting, Mr. Carey mentioned DOJ traveling to FPD and looking at the new Use of Force reporting and investigation system. And I'm happy to report that we were there yesterday and sat down with Captain Dilworth, and it was very clear that he has a firm grip on the system. He knows how to work with it and he is in close contact with Benchmark about working out some of the work flow kinks. But what was also clear is that officers are using it, and that's to their credit because it's a new system and there's a steep learning curve, but it

was very evident that the system is up and running, and Captain Dilworth, in particular, has a firm grasp of it. So we were very pleased to see that.

Lastly, we appreciate Mr. Carey clarifying that the Monitoring Team's expenses have been well under budget, of the five-year budget, but even though we're in year six.

We want to take this opportunity to reiterate the tremendous value that Ms. Tidwell and her team of subject matter experts bring to the table. Over the past six years they have provided valuable insight on revised policies and training, they've administered two community surveys, they've helped the City design and administer a series of small group dialogues, and they've completed two significant audits. The fact that the Monitoring Team was able to accomplish all of this while under budget is a testament to Ms. Tidwell and her team's fidelity to the decree, their commitment to the process, and their sensitivity to the City's fiscal concerns.

Your Honor, that's all from DOJ today, but I'm happy to answer questions.

THE COURT: I don't really have any. I'm very encouraged by your report on the Benchmark system that I know has taken a lot of tweaking to get up and running. That's true of all sorts of computer systems, I know, but this is something that, once the officers are fully using it

and trained in it, it will make auditing and understanding 1 2 what's really going on to actually know what is happening 3 much better, and it's a wonderful thing for openness and transparency in law enforcement municipal actions. And so 5 I, you know, compliment everybody on getting that done, getting it put together. So I know it was a lot of work. 6 7 Thank you. 8 All right. Ms. Tidwell? 9 MS. TIDWELL: Thank you, Judge. I'll be relatively 10 brief. 11 12 13 14

4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think the parties have touched on many of the areas that are sort of under the work in progress in a number of areas. And prior to Ms. Barton's departure, the Monitoring Team, along with the parties, met to sort of scope out some of these anticipated projects and work flow for this upcoming quarter and beyond. And, as the parties have said, some of those are on pause for the moment as we await Ms. Johnson's arrival and integration.

But, as you heard from Mr. Carey and Ms. Senier, the parties have been working to lay the groundwork and made important strides in a number of areas, and so I applaud them, and especially FPD, given sort of some of the circumstances that have happened over the summer that they're not only to carry on without Ms. Barton, which is a sizeable pair of shoes to fill, but also in the wake of the flooding and other community public safety issues that have arose over the past few months.

Some of the other areas that I think were touched on briefly that I just wanted to talk about. Dr. Anderson, the Monitoring Team's community outreach subject matter expert, along with Mr. Hart from DOJ, have been still meeting with Community Mediation Services around the small group dialogues, the facilitated dialogues, and sort of getting those, I think, near completion this year. And as we -- as the Monitoring Team prepare for the next survey, Dr. Anderson will hopefully be able to leverage some of those relationships connections and connections from the small group dialogues to increase participation for the upcoming survey.

We've already reached --

THE COURT: Hold on a second. You're talking fast. She's getting it all down, I promise. I just was -- I wanted to make sure.

MS. TIDWELL: I apologize.

We have already connected with the police foundation, which created the survey and posted on Host, the platform for the survey results and reporting, and other than a few modest tweaks to some of the questions and the possible addition of others that touch on substantive areas of the Consent Decree, like use of force and accountability, the

survey is pretty much ready to go. We hope to do a similar type of mailing that we did the last time around, although we're trying to make it a little bit more targeted and hopefully be able to sort of follow it up with some in-person promotion using existing community events.

Now that the world is starting to re-open a little bit, we hope that, not only that we can pair with some of the local colleges and universities to put boots on the ground and have people out in the community, but also to follow up with using the existing community engagement activities that the City undertakes to try to gen up participation. Although it was elevated last time around, it still is well below what we'd like to see, particularly in the areas most impacted by policing in Ferguson, and so that's something that we are looking forward to in the next quarter to get up and running.

THE COURT: Can you pull the mic a little bit closer to you. I'm just having a little bit of trouble hearing you. Thanks.

MS. TIDWELL: Our newest Monitoring Team members that Your Honor met at the last hearing, Darryl Owens and Lisa Holmes, continue their integration and preliminary work on upcoming audits.

Chief McCall and Captain Dilworth have facilitated the Monitoring Team's access to body-worn and in-car camera

footage to aid in the conduct of both Phase 2 of the Use of Force audit as well as the upcoming accountability audit. We have a demo for the Evidence.com, the body-worn camera platform, a demo scheduled later for this week which will help us to finalize sort of the scope and work flow for both of those audits.

Based on Mr. Carey's remarks and what our understanding is about Ms. Johnson's background, we hope that her extensive experience in law enforcement auditing will assist us both in our work as well as in setting up further performance measures and audits for FPD going forward after the Consent Decree is over. So we look forward to connecting with her on that and on a number of other fronts.

Last night I was able to observe a municipal court session in person for the first time in a very long time, and that was a very, very welcome sight for me. Judge Goldstein sends his regards, by the way.

Ms. Weber, the court administrator, and I have been working together to organize historical data in the record system to finalize the Comprehensive Amnesty Program by the end of this year. Hopefully we'll be reporting on its successful completion by the end of this year.

There are still a couple of policy areas, one or two, left to complete in the municipal court space. Ms. Barton

had sort of moved those forward towards completion prior to her departure, so we look forward to Ms. Johnson sort of picking up the ball and getting those done as well.

Just in closing, the Monitoring Team applauds the parties for working together to keep things moving in Ms. Barton's absence. In addition to shepherding the policies through the public comment phase and thinking outside the box in terms of training delivery and how to — I know that the DOJ is planning to observe a crisis intervention training later this year that hopefully can be adapted, used by FPD for — to comply with the Consent Decree provisions in that area.

So it appears that the City, at least for the most part, has avoided the type of backslide we feared might happen with -- after the loss of Ms. Barton, given her outsize role. And we look forward to our work with the parties to assist in implementation because this -- on behalf of our entire team, this project means a great deal to us and we definitely want to see it to a successful end.

So, thank you, Your Honor. I'm happy to answer any questions you might have.

THE COURT: I don't think I have any questions. This is a pretty thorough report from all three of you.

I do really appreciate what you just said though about the fact that it seems like things did move -- have moved

forward since June, even though we were all concerned, with Ms. Barton leaving, that everything would just stop, but it hasn't. The City has continued working hard, the police department's continued working hard, and the DOJ and the Monitor Team have been passing policies back and forth and talking about audits and all the things you need to talk about. So a lot has been going on.

I'm very glad to hear Ms. Johnson is coming on. She sounds extremely qualified, much as we all hated to lose Ms. Barton, of course. But I do -- you know, it looks like we're getting close. You know, things are getting to a point where the City is really complying with this and I hope can set up systems, as you just mentioned, with Ms. Johnson perhaps going forward in the future because eventually -- and I have no prediction when because I'm not into the job of predicting such things -- that there will be a time when this will end, and I think the City's making great progress towards that time.

And, so, there are always things that are setbacks. I don't know. You know, when they're setbacks that are caused by somebody making mistakes, those are always really upsetting. When they're setbacks caused by things completely out of your control, like a flood or other issues that are going on, you know, it's -- there's nothing you can do about it except deal with them well, and it looks to me

like everyone here has dealt with them very well, so I appreciate that.

One thing about the Monitoring Team costs. And I'll just say this -- Mr. Carey sort of touched on it: Not only are the costs under budget, the law firms involved have written off a significant amount of legal fees, so that what -- they're not charging the amount they would normally charge other people. So there is a big amount of, you know, where they're giving a discounted rate, and Ms. Tidwell with the other firm involved as well, and that's gone on for the whole time. And I think -- I know that everybody thinks that lawyers charge too much money, but they charge what they need to charge in today's world. And the fact that they're willing to do this work, which is an important public service, and to charge a discounted fee, is very important.

And I also want to just thank the lawyers. You know, it's really important to a judge to have lawyers who are professional and get along and always tell you the straight things that are happening and are doing the work they need to do. In this case we've had it throughout, and I really do appreciate that from both the City and the Department of Justice and from the Monitoring Team lawyers, as well as the subject matter experts that you all have also had.

So I think that's all I have unless anyone --

Mr. Carey or Ms. Senier, do you have anything further?

MR. CAREY: Nothing further from the City, Your Honor.

MS. SENIER: No, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Well, thank you for being here. I'm glad you were able to, as usual, pair your visit here for this hearing -- thank you; I was going to forget that -- pair this visit for this hearing with other Consent Decree activities such as observing municipal court.

I know the pandemic has made all of us -- we just all fell behind in being able to watch things and travel and do the things we need to do, so I'm so glad that we're getting back on speed and that, you know, the Monitor was able to watch the municipal court, and the Department of Justice did the meetings they did, and that's something that is always being done, and I appreciate that.

Our next quarterly status hearing in the public is going to be on Friday, December 9th, at 10 a.m. And I'm going to send out an order, probably later today, setting that hearing, but I wanted to announce it while we're on the record and while people are here, and hopefully people may be listening on the livestream.

So the next one will be Friday, December 9th, at 10 a.m. It will be here in this courtroom, 3 North, and this will be an in-person hearing, obviously, unless things change with the COVID pandemic, and it will also be a

hearing where members of the public will be allowed to speak 1 2 and address the Court using the same format we have used 3 before with public comments. And so I do always appreciate 4 hearing those public comments. So that will be when we do 5 that. With that said, I would just thank you all for 6 7 continuing the work. Keep up the good work. Don't stop. 8 Everybody knows -- and I don't see any evidence that you 9 are. I also will comment: I know there's been a lot of 10 11 support -- Mr. Osterberg has been very important on this. Everybody's doing a great job, but I praised Chief McCall 12 13 before. I know Lieutenant Harris is working hard. And, 14 Mr. Osterberg, I think having you join was a great thing for 15 the City, to have a City Manager such as yourself on board. 16 So, thank you all, and court is in recess. 17 (Proceedings adjourned at 10:44 a.m.) 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

25

1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 3 I, Laura A. Esposito, Registered Professional Reporter and Certified Realtime Reporter, hereby certify that I am a 4 5 duly appointed Official Court Reporter for the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri. 6 7 I further certify that the foregoing is a true and 8 accurate transcript of the proceedings held in the 9 above-entitled case, that said transcript contains pages 1 10 through 30, inclusive, and was delivered electronically. 11 This reporter takes no responsibility for missing or damaged 12 pages of this transcript when same transcript is copied by 13 any party other than this reporter. 14 Dated at St. Louis, Missouri, this 17th day of October 15 2022. 16 17 Laura A. Esposito 18 Laura A. Esposito, RPR, CRR, CRC Official Court Reporter 19 20 21 22 23 24

25